

**A RELATION BETWEEN THE CATEGORIES**  
 $\overrightarrow{Set}$ ,  $Set_{\mathbb{T}}$ ,  $Set_*$  AND  $Set^{\mathbb{T}}$

**S. N. HOSSEINI, A. ILAGHI-HOSSEINI**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SHAHID BAHONAR UNIVERSITY OF KERMAN, IRAN  
E-MAIL: NHOSEINI@UK.AC.IR

(Received: 21 April 2012, Accepted: 12 August 2012)

ABSTRACT. In this article, we have shown, for the add-point monad  $\mathbb{T}$ , the partial morphism category  $\overrightarrow{Set}$  is isomorphic to the Kleisli category  $Set_{\mathbb{T}}$ . Also we have proved that the category,  $Set^{\mathbb{T}}$ , of  $\mathbb{T}$ -algebras is isomorphic to the category  $Set_*$  of pointed sets. Finally we have established commutative squares involving these categories.

**AMS Classification:** 18B99, 18C15, 18C20.

**Keywords:** monad, partial morphism category, category of pointed sets, Kleisli category, category of  $\mathbb{T}$ -algebras

1. INTRODUCTION

The partial morphism categories [1, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], the Kleisli categories [1, 6, 7, 14], the categories of algebras [1, 2, 3, 7] and the pointed categories [1, 5], are all useful categories with a wide range of applications.

In this article we have established a relation between the above mentioned categories, when the base category is the category  $Set$  of sets and functions, and the monad is what we have called the add-point monad.

In Section 2, we have defined the add-point monad and we have given functors between the category,  $\overrightarrow{Set}$ , of partial functions and the Kleisli category  $Set_{\mathbb{T}}$ . We

---

*JOURNAL OF MAHANI MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH CENTER*

*VOL. 1, NUMBER 2 (2012) 137-145.*

©MAHANI MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH CENTER

have then shown that these functors are inverses of each other, proving the two categories are isomorphic.

In Section 3, we have given functors between the category,  $Set^{\mathbb{T}}$ , of  $\mathbb{T}$ -algebras, and the category  $Set_*$  of pointed sets. We have then shown that these functors are inverses of each other, proving the two categories are isomorphic.

Finally in Section 4, we have given functors from  $Set_{\mathbb{T}}$  to  $Set^{\mathbb{T}}$  and from  $\overrightarrow{Set}$  to  $Set_*$  and we have established commutative squares involving these categories.

## 2. $\overrightarrow{Set}$ AND $Set_{\mathbb{T}}$ ARE ISOMORPHIC

**Definition 2.1.** The partial morphism category,  $\overrightarrow{Set}$ , associated to the category  $Set$  of sets and functions has the same objects as  $Set$ , with morphisms  $\overrightarrow{f} = [(i_f, f)] : X \rightarrow Y$  equivalence classes of pairs  $(i_f : D_f \rightarrow X, f : D_f \rightarrow Y)$  where  $f$  is a function and  $i_f$  is a mono. Equivalence of  $(i_f, f)$  and  $(i_g, g)$  means that there is an isomorphism  $\varphi$  for which  $i_f = i_g \circ \varphi$  and  $f = g \circ \varphi$ .

The composition of morphisms  $X \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{f}} Y \xrightarrow{\overrightarrow{g}} Z$  is defined by  $\overrightarrow{g} \circ \overrightarrow{f} = [(i_g, g)] \circ [(i_f, f)] = [(i_f(f^{-1}(i_g)), g(i_g^{-1}(f)))]$ , where  $f^{-1}(i_g)$  is the pullback of  $i_g$  along  $f$ , etc; and the identity morphism on  $X$  is defined to be  $[(1_X, 1_X)]$ .

**Definition 2.2.** The add-point monad  $\mathbb{T} = (T, \eta, \mu)$ , consists of the endofunctor  $T : Set \rightarrow Set$ , where  $T(X) = X \sqcup 1$  and  $T(f) = f \sqcup 1$ ; the natural transformation  $\eta : I \rightarrow T$ , where  $\eta_X = \nu_1 : X \rightarrow X \sqcup 1$  is the first injection of the coproduct, and the natural transformation  $\mu : T^2 \rightarrow T$ , where  $\mu_X = 1 \oplus \nu_2 : (X \sqcup 1) \sqcup 1 \rightarrow X \sqcup 1$ , with  $\nu_2$  the second injection of the coproduct.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the add-point monad. The Kleisli category  $Set_{\mathbb{T}}$  has sets as objects, and a morphism  $\hat{f} : X \rightarrow Y$  corresponds to a morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y \sqcup 1$  in  $Set$ . The identity morphism on  $X$  is  $1_X = \widehat{\eta_X} : X \rightarrow X$ , and the composition of morphisms  $X \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} Y \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} Z$  is defined by  $\hat{g} \circ \hat{f} = \mu_Z \circ \widehat{(g \sqcup 1)} \circ f$ .

**Remark 2.4.** For any pair  $(i_f, f)$  where  $f$  is a function and  $i_f$  is a monomorphism, there is a unique morphism  $\overrightarrow{f}$  making the following square a pullback in  $Set$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_f & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ i_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y \sqcup 1 \end{array}$$

$\bar{f}$  is defined by:

$$\bar{f}(x) = \begin{cases} \nu_1 f(x') & \text{if } x = i_f(x') \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and if  $\bar{g} : X \rightarrow Y \sqcup 1$  is a morphism such that the following square is a pullback,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_f & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ i_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} & Y \sqcup 1 \end{array}$$

then for  $x = i_f(x')$ , we have  $\bar{g}(x) = \bar{g}i_f(x') = \nu_1 f(x') = \bar{f}(x)$ ; and for  $x \notin i_f(D_f)$ ,  $\bar{g}(x) = 1$ , since otherwise there is  $y \in Y$  such that  $\bar{g}(x) = y$  which implies  $x = i_f(x')$  for some  $x' \in D_f$  and that is a contradiction. Hence  $\bar{g} = \bar{f}$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *The map  $\alpha : \text{Set}_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \overrightarrow{\text{Set}}$  that acts like identity on objects and takes each morphism  $\hat{f} : X \rightarrow Y$  to a morphism  $\overrightarrow{f^*} = [(i_{f^*}, f^*)] : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $(i_{f^*}, f^*)$  is obtained by the pullback,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_f & \xrightarrow{f^*} & Y \\ i_{f^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \sqcup 1 \end{array}$$

in *Set*, is a functor.

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that  $\alpha$  is well-defined and preserves identities. To show  $\alpha$  preserves composition, let  $\hat{f}, \hat{g} : X \rightarrow Y$  be two morphisms in  $\text{Set}_{\mathbb{T}}$  and set  $\hat{h} = \hat{g} \circ \hat{f} = \mu_Z(\widehat{g \sqcup 1})f$ . Then  $\alpha(\hat{h}) = \overrightarrow{h^*}$ , where the following square is a pullback in *Set*.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{h^*} & \xrightarrow{h^*} & Z \\ i_{h^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\ X & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \sqcup 1 \end{array}$$

On the other hand we have the composition  $\alpha(\hat{g}) \circ \alpha(\hat{f}) = \overrightarrow{g^*} \circ \overrightarrow{f^*} = [(i_{f^*} \circ (f^*)^{-1}(i_{g^*}), g^* \circ (i_{g^*})^{-1}(f^*))]$  with the following pullback squares.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
E & \xrightarrow{(i_{g^*})^{-1}(f^*)} & D_{g^*} & \xrightarrow{g^*} & Z & \xrightarrow{1} & Z \\
\downarrow (f^*)^{-1}(i_{g^*}) & & \downarrow i_{g^*} & & \downarrow \nu_1 & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
D_{f^*} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & Z \sqcup 1 & & \\
\downarrow i_{f^*} & & \downarrow \nu_1 & & \downarrow \nu'_1 & & \\
X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \sqcup 1 & \xrightarrow{g \sqcup 1} & (Z \sqcup 1) \sqcup 1 & \xrightarrow{\mu_Z} & Z \sqcup 1
\end{array}$$

Hence, we have the following pullback squares.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E & \xrightarrow{g^* \circ (i_{g^*})^{-1}(f^*)} & Z \\
\downarrow i_{f^*} \circ (f^*)^{-1}(i_{g^*}) & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
X & \xrightarrow{\mu_Z(g \sqcup 1)f} & Z \sqcup 1
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
D_{h^*} & \xrightarrow{h^*} & Z \\
\downarrow i_{h^*} & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
X & \xrightarrow{\mu_Z(g \sqcup 1)f} & Z \sqcup 1
\end{array}$$

Since pullbacks are unique up to isomorphism,  $[(i_{f^*} \circ (f^*)^{-1}(i_{g^*}), g^* \circ (i_{g^*})^{-1}(f^*))] = [(i_{h^*}, h^*)] = \alpha(\hat{g} \circ \hat{f})$ . The result then follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.6.** *The map  $\beta : \overrightarrow{Set} \rightarrow Set_{\mathbb{T}}$  that acts like identity on objects and takes each morphism  $\overrightarrow{f} : X \rightarrow Y$  to a morphism  $\hat{f} : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $\bar{f} : X \rightarrow Y \sqcup 1$  is the unique function obtained by the pullback*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
D_f & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\downarrow i_f & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
X & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y \sqcup 1
\end{array}$$

in  $Set$ , is a functor.

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that  $\beta$  is well-defined and preserves identities. To show  $\beta$  preserves composition, let  $\overrightarrow{f}, \overrightarrow{g} : X \rightarrow Y$  be two morphisms in  $\overrightarrow{Set}$  and set  $\overrightarrow{h} = \overrightarrow{g} \circ \overrightarrow{f} = [(i_f \circ f^{-1}(i_g), g \circ (i_g)^{-1}(f))]$ . We have  $\beta(\overrightarrow{g} \circ \overrightarrow{f}) = \hat{h}$ , with the following pullback square.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 E & \xrightarrow{g \circ (i_g^{-1})(f)} & Z \\
 \downarrow i_f \circ f^{-1}(i_g) & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & Z \sqcup 1
 \end{array}$$

On the other hand we have  $\beta(\vec{g}) \circ \beta(\vec{f}) = \mu_Z(\widehat{\vec{g} \sqcup 1})\vec{f}$  with the following pullback squares.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 E & \xrightarrow{(i_g)^{-1}(f)} & D_g & \xrightarrow{g} & Z & \xrightarrow{1} & Z \\
 \downarrow f^{-1}(i_g) & & \downarrow i_g & & \downarrow \nu_1 & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 D_f & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{\vec{g}} & Z \sqcup 1 & & \\
 \downarrow i_f & & \downarrow \nu_1 & & \downarrow \nu_1 & & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\vec{f}} & Y \sqcup 1 & \xrightarrow{\vec{g} \sqcup 1} & (Z \sqcup 1) \sqcup 1 & \xrightarrow{\mu_Z} & Z \sqcup 1
 \end{array}$$

So we have the following pullback squares.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 E & \xrightarrow{g \circ (i_g^{-1})(f)} & Z \\
 \downarrow i_f \circ f^{-1}(i_g) & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\mu_Z(\vec{g} \sqcup 1)\vec{f}} & Z \sqcup 1
 \end{array} & & \begin{array}{ccc}
 E & \xrightarrow{g \circ (i_g^{-1})(f)} & Z \\
 \downarrow i_f \circ f^{-1}(i_g) & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & Z \sqcup 1
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

By Remark 2.4,  $\bar{h} = \mu_Z(\vec{g} \sqcup 1)\vec{f}$ . The result then follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *The categories  $\vec{Set}$  and  $Set_{\mathbb{T}}$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* We show that the above functors  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are inverses of each other.

It is Obvious that  $\alpha \circ \beta$  is identity on objects. Now let  $\vec{f}$  be a morphism in  $\vec{Set}$ . We have  $\alpha \circ \beta(\vec{f}) = \alpha(\hat{\vec{f}}) = \vec{f}^*$  with the following pullback squares in  $Set$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 D_f & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \downarrow i_f & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\vec{f}} & Y \sqcup 1
 \end{array} & & \begin{array}{ccc}
 D_{\vec{f}^*} & \xrightarrow{\vec{f}^*} & Y \\
 \downarrow i_{\vec{f}^*} & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\vec{f}} & Y \sqcup 1
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Since pullbacks are unique up to isomorphism, we have  $\overrightarrow{f^*} = [(i_{\overrightarrow{f^*}}, \overrightarrow{f^*})] = [(i_f, f)] = \overrightarrow{f}$ . Hence  $\alpha \circ \beta = 1_{\text{Set}}$ .

Next we show  $\beta \circ \alpha : \text{Set}_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \text{Set}_{\mathbb{T}}$  is also the identity functor. Obviously it is on objects. Let  $\hat{f} : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\text{Set}_{\mathbb{T}}$ . We have  $\beta \circ \alpha(\hat{f}) = \beta(\overrightarrow{f^*}) = \hat{f}^*$  with the following pullback squares in  $\text{Set}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_f & \xrightarrow{f^*} & Y \\ i_{f^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow v_1 \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \sqcup 1 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} D_{f^*} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & Y \\ i_{f^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow v_1 \\ X & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}^*} & Y \sqcup 1 \end{array}$$

By Remark 2.4, we have:  $\hat{f}^* = f$ . Hence  $\beta \circ \alpha = 1_{\text{Set}_{\mathbb{T}}}$ .  $\square$

### 3. $\text{Set}_*$ AND $\text{Set}^{\mathbb{T}}$ ARE ISOMORPHIC

**Definition 3.1.** The category  $\text{Set}_*$  of pointed sets has as objects the pairs  $(X, x_0)$ , where  $X$  is a set and  $x_0 \in X$ , and as morphisms the point-preserving functions  $(X, x_0) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, y_0)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be the add-point monad, the category of  $\mathbb{T}$ -algebras,  $\text{Set}^{\mathbb{T}}$ , has  $(X, h)$  as objects where  $X$  is a set and the  $\mathbb{T}$ -algebra  $X \sqcup 1 \xrightarrow{h} X$  is a function such that  $h \circ \eta_X = 1_X$  and  $h \circ \mu_X = h \circ (h \sqcup 1)$ , and a morphism from  $(X, h)$  to  $(Y, h')$  is a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f \circ h = h' \circ (f \sqcup 1)$ . Composition and identities are as in sets.

**Remark 3.3.** Let  $f : (X, h) \rightarrow (Y, h')$  be a morphism in  $\text{Set}^{\mathbb{T}}$ . Then  $f \circ h = h' \circ (f \sqcup 1)$  and so  $f(h(1)) = h'(f \sqcup 1)(1) = h'(1)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** The map  $\gamma : \text{Set}^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow \text{Set}_*$  which takes the object  $(X, h)$  to the object  $(X, h(1))$  and the morphism  $f : (X, h) \rightarrow (Y, h')$  to the morphism  $f : (X, h(1)) \rightarrow (Y, h'(1))$ , is a functor.

*Proof.* By Remark 3.3,  $\gamma$  is well-defined on objects; the rest follows easily.  $\square$

**Remark 3.5.** For a morphism  $f : (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  in  $\text{Set}_*$ , and  $\hat{x}_0$  the constant function with value  $x_0$ , we have  $(1_Y \oplus \hat{y}_0) \circ (f \sqcup 1) = (1_Y \circ f) \oplus (\hat{y}_0 \circ 1) = f \oplus \hat{y}_0 = (f \circ 1_X) \oplus (f \circ \hat{x}_0) = f \circ (1_X \oplus \hat{x}_0)$ . Therefore, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \sqcup 1 & \xrightarrow{f \sqcup 1} & Y \sqcup 1 \\
 \downarrow 1_X \oplus \hat{x}_0 & & \downarrow 1_Y \oplus \hat{y}_0 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
 \end{array}$$

**Proposition 3.6.** *The map  $\delta : Set_* \rightarrow Set^{\mathbb{T}}$  that takes the object  $(X, x_0)$  to the object  $(X, h)$ , where  $h = 1_X \oplus \hat{x}_0 : X \sqcup 1 \rightarrow X$ ; and the morphism  $f : (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$  to the morphism  $f : (X, h) \rightarrow (Y, h')$ , is a functor.*

*Proof.*  $\delta$  is well-defined by Remark 3.5. The rest follows easily. □

**Theorem 3.7.** *The categories  $Set_*$  and  $Set^{\mathbb{T}}$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* We show that the above functors  $\delta$  and  $\gamma$  are inverses of each other. First for each  $(X, x_0)$  in  $Set_*$ , we have  $\gamma \circ \delta(X, x_0) = \gamma(X, h = 1_X \oplus \hat{x}_0) = (X, h(1)) = (X, x_0)$ . So  $\gamma \circ \delta$  is identity on objects. It follows easily that it is also identity on morphisms. Hence,  $\gamma \circ \delta = 1_{Set_*}$ .

Next we show  $\delta \circ \gamma : Set^{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow Set^{\mathbb{T}}$  is also the identity functor. For each  $(X, h)$  in  $Set^{\mathbb{T}}$  we have  $\delta \circ \gamma(X, h) = \delta(X, h(1)) = (X, 1_X \oplus \widehat{h(1)}) = (X, h)$ . So  $\delta \circ \gamma$  acts like identity on objects. It can be easily seen that it acts like identity on morphisms. So  $\delta \circ \gamma = 1_{Set^{\mathbb{T}}}$ . □

#### 4. A RELATION BETWEEN $Set_{\mathbb{T}}$ , $Set^{\mathbb{T}}$ , $\overrightarrow{Set}$ AND $Set_*$

**Proposition 4.1.** *The map  $\varphi : Set_{\mathbb{T}} \rightarrow Set^{\mathbb{T}}$  that takes the object  $X$  to the object  $(X \sqcup 1, \mu_X)$  and the morphism  $\hat{f} : X \rightarrow Y$  to the morphism  $\tilde{f} = f \oplus \nu_2 : (X \sqcup 1, \mu_X) \rightarrow (Y \sqcup 1, \mu_Y)$ , is a functor.*

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

**Proposition 4.2.** *The map  $\psi : \overrightarrow{Set} \rightarrow Set_*$  that takes the object  $X$  to the object  $(X \sqcup 1, 1)$  and the morphism  $\overrightarrow{f} : X \rightarrow Y$  to the morphism  $\bar{f} \oplus \nu_2 : (X \sqcup 1, 1) \rightarrow (Y \sqcup 1, 1)$ , where  $\bar{f}$  is obtained by the pullback*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_f & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 i_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y \sqcup 1
 \end{array}$$

*is a functor.*

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

**Theorem 4.3.** *We have the following commutative diagrams of functors.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \xrightarrow{1_{Set_{\mathbb{T}}}} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 Set_{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \overrightarrow{Set} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Set_{\mathbb{T}} \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \varphi \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 Set^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & Set_* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & Set^{\mathbb{T}} \\
 & & \curvearrowleft & & \\
 & & \xrightarrow{1_{Set^{\mathbb{T}}}} & & \\
 \\
 & & \xrightarrow{1_{Set}} & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 \overrightarrow{Set} & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Set_{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \overrightarrow{Set} \\
 \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \psi \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 Set_* & \xrightarrow{\delta} & Set^{\mathbb{T}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & Set_* \\
 & & \curvearrowleft & & \\
 & & \xrightarrow{1_{Set_*}} & & 
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* We only need to show the commutativity of the squares. For  $\hat{f} : X \rightarrow Y$  a morphism in  $Set_{\mathbb{T}}$ , we have  $(\psi \circ \alpha)(\hat{f}) = \psi(\overrightarrow{f^*}) = \bar{f}^* \oplus \nu_2$  with the following pullback squares in  $Set$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_{f^*} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & Y \\
 i_{f^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \sqcup 1
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 D_{f^*} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & Y \\
 i_{f^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}^*} & Y \sqcup 1
 \end{array}$$

By Remark 2.4,  $f = \bar{f}^*$ . Hence  $(\psi \circ \alpha)(\hat{f}) = f \oplus \nu_2$ . On the other hand, we have  $(\gamma \circ \varphi)(\hat{f}) = \gamma(f \oplus \nu_2) = (f \oplus \nu_2)$ , therefore  $\psi \circ \alpha = \gamma \circ \varphi$ .

Now let  $\overrightarrow{f} : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism in  $\overrightarrow{Set}$ . We have  $(\varphi \circ \beta)(\overrightarrow{f}) = \varphi(\hat{f}) = \bar{f} \oplus \nu_2$  and  $(\delta \circ \psi)(\overrightarrow{f}) = \delta(\bar{f} \oplus \nu_2) = (\bar{f} \oplus \nu_2)$ . Where  $\bar{f}$  is the morphism making the following square a pullback in  $Set$ .



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_f & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 i_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu_1 \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & Y \sqcup 1
 \end{array}$$

Therefore  $\varphi \circ \beta = \delta \circ \psi$ . □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Adamek, J., Herrlich, H., Strecker, G. E., *Abstract and Concrete Categories*, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1990.
- [2] Barr, M., HSP Subcategories of Eilenberg-Moore Algebras, *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 10, No. 18 (2002) 461-468.
- [3] Berger, C., Moerdijk I., On the Derived Category of an Algebra over an Operad, *Georgian Math. J.* 16 (2009) 13-28.
- [4] Booth, P. I., Brown, R., Spaces of Partial Maps, *Fibred Mapping Spaces and the Compact-Open Topology*, *Gen. Topol. Appl.* 8 (1978) 181-195.
- [5] Carboni, A., Janelidze, G., Smash Product of Pointed Objects in Lextensive Categories, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 183 (2003) 27-43.
- [6] Dawson, J. E., Compound Monads and the Kleisli Category, (unpublished note)  
<http://users.cecs.anu.edu.au/jeremy/pubs/cmkc/cmkc.pdf>
- [7] Fiore, M., Menni, M., Reflective Kleisli Subcategories of the Category of Eilenberg-Moore Algebras for Factorization Monads, *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 15, No. 2 (2005) 40-65.
- [8] Herrlich, H., On the Representability of Partial Morphisms in Top and in Related Constructs. *Springer Lect. Notes Math.* 1348 (1988) 143-153.
- [9] Hosseini, S. N., Mielke, M. V., Universal Monos in Partial Morphism Categories, *Appl Categor Struct* 17 (2009) 435-444.
- [10] Lowen, E., Lowen, R., A Quasitopos Containing CONV and MET as Full Subcategories, *Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci.*, Vol 11 No 3 (1988) 417-438.
- [11] Menezes, P. B., Diagonal Compositionality of Partial Petri Nets, *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science* 14, 1998.
- [12] Mori, M., Kawahara, Y., *Rewriting Fuzzy Graphs*, Department of Informatics, Kyushu University 33, Fukuoka 812-81, Japan, 1997.
- [13] Palmgren, E., Vickers, S. J., *Partial Horn Logic and Cartesian Categories*, U.U.D.M. Report 36, ISSN 1101-3591, 2005.
- [14] Teleiko A., On Extension of Functors to the Kleisli Category of Some Weakly Normal Monads, *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 37, No. 2 (1996) 405-410.