

**FINITENESS PROPERTIES OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY
MODULES FOR (I, J) -MINIMAX MODULES**

J. TAYYEBI MAMAGHANI

**DEPARTMENT OF AZARBAIJAN HIGHER EDUCATION AND
RESEARCH COMPLEX OF TABRIZ, TABRIZ, IRAN**

E-MAIL: JAVADTAYYEBI@YMAIL.COM

(Received: 2 October 2018, Accepted: 17 December 2018)

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring and let I and J be two ideals of R . In this paper, we introduce the concept of (I, J) -minimax R -module and it is shown that if M is an (I, J) -minimax R -module and t a non-negative integer such that $H_{I,J}^t(M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i < t$, then for any (I, J) -minimax submodule N of $H_{I,J}^t(M)$, the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$ is (I, J) -minimax. As a consequence, it follows that the Goldie dimension of $H_{I,J}^t(M)/N$ is finite and so the set of associated primes of $H_{I,J}^t(M)/N$ is finite. This generalizes the main result of Azami, Naghipour and Vakili [2, Theorem 4.2].

AMS Classification: 13D45, 14B15, 13E05.

Keywords: Local Cohomology, Cofinite Module, Minimax Module, Associated Primes.

JOURNAL OF MAHANI MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH CENTER

VOL. 7, NUMBERS 1-2 (2018) 79-94.

DOI: 10.22103/JMMRC.2018.12807.1072

©MAHANI MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH CENTER

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, R is a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero identity. The generalized local cohomology module with respect to a pair of ideals I and J of R is introduced in [12].

We are concerned with the subset

$$W(I, J) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid I^n \subseteq p + J \text{ for some integer } n \geq 1\}$$

of $\text{Spec}(R)$. For an R -module M , we consider the (I, J) -torsion submodule $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ of M which consists of all elements x of M with $\text{Supp}(Rx) \subseteq W(I, J)$. By [12, Corollary 1.8], we have $\Gamma_{I,J}(M) = \{x \in M \mid I^n x \subseteq Jx \text{ for some integer } n \geq 1\}$. Furthermore, for an integer i , we define the local cohomology functor $H_{I,J}^i(-)$ with respect to (I, J) to be the i -th right derived functor of $\Gamma_{I,J}(-)$. Note that if $J = 0$, then $H_{I,J}^i(-)$ coincides with the ordinary local cohomology functor $H_I^i(-)$, with the support in the closed subset $V(I)$. On the other hand, if J contains I , then $\Gamma_{I,J}(-)$ is the identity functor and $H_{I,J}^i(-) = 0$, for $i > 0$ [12].

In [3], Bordmann and Lashgari showed that if for a finitely generated R -module M and an integer t , the local cohomology modules $H_I^0(M), H_I^1(M), \dots, H_I^{t-1}(M)$ are finitely generated, then the set $\text{Ass}_R(H_I^t(M)/N)$ is finite for every finitely generated submodule N of $H_I^t(M)$.

In [2], Azami, Naghipour and Vakili showed that if M is an I -minimax R -module and t non-negative integer such that $H_I^i(M)$ is I -minimax for all $i < t$, then for any I -minimax submodule N of $H_I^t(M)$, the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^t(M)/N)$ is I -minimax. It follows that the Goldie dimension of $H_I^t(M)/N$ is finite and so the associated primes of $H_I^t(M)/N$ are finite. This generalizes the main result of Brodmann and Lashgari [3]. One of the main tools for proving above mentioned result in [2] is the following statement which is the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1. (*[2, Theorem 2.7]*) *Let R be a Noetherian ring and M be a finitely generated R -module and N an arbitrary R -module. Let t be a non-negative integer such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is I -minimax for all $i \leq t$. Then for any finitely generated R -module L with $\text{Supp } L \subseteq \text{Supp } M$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, N)$ is I -minimax for all $i \leq t$.*

This paper is concerned with what might be considered a generalization of the above-mentioned result of Azami, Naghipour and Vakili to the class of (I, J) -minimax modules. More precisely, we shall show that:

Theorem 1.2. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and let I and J be two ideals of R and M be an (I, J) -minimax R -module. Let t be a non-negative integer such that $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i < t$. Then for any (I, J) -minimax submodule N of $H_{I,J}^t(M)$ the R -module $\text{Hom}(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$ is (I, J) -minimax. In particular, the Goldie dimension of $H_{I,J}^t(M)/N$ is finite and so the set $\text{Ass}_R(H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$ is finite.*

Recall that an R -module M is said to have finite Goldie dimension (written $G \dim M < \infty$) if M does not contain an infinite direct sum of non-zero submodules, or equivalently the injective hull $E(M)$ of M decomposes as a finite direct sum of indecomposable (injective) submodules, see [9, Section A6], in particular, [9, Definition 6.2, Proposition 6.4 and 6.12]. One notices that [9] uses uniform dimension instead of Goldie dimension. Also, an R -module M is said to have finite I -relative Goldie dimension if the I -torsion submodule $\Gamma_I(M) := \bigcup_{n \geq 1} (o :_M I^n)$ of M is finitely generated.

An R -module M is said to have finite (I, J) -relative Goldie dimension if the Goldie dimension of the (I, J) -torsion submodule $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ of M is finite.

We say that an R -module M is I -minimax if the I -relative Goldie dimension of any quotient module of M is finite. Also, an R -module M is (I, J) -minimax if the (I, J) -relative Goldie dimension of any quotient module of M is finite. One of our tools for proving Theorem 1.2 is the following proposition.

Proposition 1.3. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and let I and J be ideals of R . Let M be a finitely generated R -module and N an arbitrary R -module. Let t be a non-negative integer such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$. Then for any finitely generated R -module L with $\text{Supp } L \subseteq \text{Supp } M$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$.*

Let $\tilde{W}(I, J)$ denote the set of all ideals a of R such that $I^n \subseteq a + J$ for some non-negative integer n . We define a partial order on $\tilde{W}(I, J)$ by letting $a \leq b$ if $a \supseteq b$ for $a, b \in \tilde{W}(I, J)$. If $a \leq b$, we have $\Gamma_a(M) \subseteq \Gamma_b(M)$. The order relation on $\tilde{W}(I, J)$

and inclusion maps make $\{\Gamma_a(M)\}_{a \in \bar{W}(I,J)}$ into a direct system of R -modules. By [12, Theorem 3.2] we have:

$$H_{I,J}^i(M) \cong \varinjlim_{a \in \bar{W}(I,J)} H_a^i(M)$$

for any integer i , where

$$H_a^i(M) = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} H_a^i(M) \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(R/a^n, M).$$

We refer the reader to [4] and [12] for the basic properties of local cohomology.

2. I -MINIMAX, (I, J) -MINIMAX AND GOLDIE DIMENSION

For an R -module M , the Goldie dimension of M is defined as the cardinal of the set of indecomposable submodules of $E(M)$ which appear in a decomposition of $E(M)$ into a direct sum of indecomposable submodules [9, Proposition 6.12]. We shall use $G \dim M$ to denote the Goldie dimension of M . For a prime ideal p , let $\mu^0(p, M)$ denote the 0-th bass number of M with respect to the prime ideal p , that is, $\mu^0(p, M) = \dim_{R_p/pR_p} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, M_p)$. It is known that $\mu^0(p, M) > 0$ if and only if $p \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(M)$. Indeed, for a $p \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$, let $\operatorname{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, M_p) \neq 0$. So $(\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/p, M))_p \neq 0$ and let $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(R/p, M)$ such that $f \neq 0$ in $(\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/p, M))_p$. We show that f is a monomorphism. Contrary, let $r \notin p$ and $f(r+p) = 0$. It follows that $rf(1+p) = 0$, then $rf = 0$. So $\frac{f}{1} = 0$ in $(\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/p, M))_p$. This contradiction shows that f is a monomorphism. Hence, $p \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(M)$. Conversely, let $p \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(M)$. It follows that R/p is isomorphic to a submodule of M . Hence R_p/pR_p is isomorphic to a submodule of M_p . So that $\operatorname{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, M_p) \neq 0$.

It follows from [9, Proposition 6.12] and the decomposition $E(M) = \bigoplus_{p \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(M)} \mu^0(p, M)E(R/p)$

of [5, Theorem 3.2.8] that

$$G \dim M = \sum_{p \in \operatorname{Ass}_R(M)} \mu^0(p, M).$$

In view of this, for any ideal I of R and any R -module M , the I -relative Goldie dimension of M is defined as

$$G \dim_I M := \sum_{p \in V(I)} \mu^0(p, M).$$

The I -relative Goldie dimension of an R -module M has been studied in [6]. Motivating, for any two ideals I and J of R and any R -module M , we define the (I, J) -relative Goldie dimension of M as

$$G \dim_{(I,J)} M := \sum_{p \in W(I,J)} \mu^0(p, M).$$

In [15], H. Zöschinger introduced the interesting class of minimax modules and in [15] and [16] gave some equivalent conditions for a module to be minimax. The R -module M is said to be a minimax module if there is a finitely generated submodule N of M , such that M/N is Artinian. It was shown by T. Zink [14] and by E. Enochs [7] that a module over a complete local ring is minimax if and only if it is matlis reflexive. On the other hand, it is known that when R is a Noetherian ring, an R -module is minimax if and only if each of its quotient has finite Goldie dimension, [14] or [16]. This motivates the following definition:

Definition 2.1. Let I and J be two ideals of R . An R -module M is said to be minimax with respect to I or I -minimax if the I -relative Goldie dimension of any quotient module of M is finite, i.e., for any submodule N of M , $G \dim_I(M/N) < \infty$. Also, an R -module M is said to be minimax with respect to I and J or (I, J) -minimax if the (I, J) -relative Goldie dimension of any quotient module of M is finite, i.e., for any submodule N of M , $G \dim_{(I,J)}(M/N) < \infty$.

Lemma 2.2. Let I and J be two ideals of R and M be an injective R -module. Then $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ is an injective R -module.

Proof. By [12, Theorem 3.2], we have $H_{I,J}^i(M) \cong \varinjlim_{a \in \overline{W}(I,J)} H_a^i(M)$. When $i = 0$, $\Gamma_{I,J}(M) \cong \varinjlim_{a \in \overline{W}(I,J)} \Gamma_a(M)$, by [12, Theorem 3.2]. $\Gamma_a(M)$ is an injective R -module by [4, Proposition 2.1.4]. Since R is a Noetherian ring, by [8, Theorem 3.1.17], $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ is an injective R -module. \square

Proposition 2.3. Let I and J be two ideals of R and M an R -module. Then $G \dim_{(I,J)} M = G \dim \Gamma_{I,J}(M)$.

Proof. Let p be a prime ideal of R . By [12, Proposition 1.11], if $p \in W(I, J)$, then $\Gamma_{I,J}(E(R/p)) = E(R/p)$ and if $p \notin W(I, J)$, then $\Gamma_{I,J}(E(R/p)) = 0$. Hence, using

[5, Theorem 3.2.8], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{I,J}(E(M)) &= \Gamma_{I,J}\left(\bigoplus_{p \in \text{Spec}(R)} \mu^0(p, M)E(R/p)\right) \\
&= \bigoplus_{p \in \text{Spec}(R)} \mu^0(p, M)\Gamma_{I,J}(E(R/p)) \\
&= \bigoplus_{p \in W(I,J)} \mu^0(p, M)E(R/p)
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\Gamma_{I,J}(E(M))$ is an essential extension of $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$. On the other hand $\Gamma_{I,J}(E(M))$ is an injective R -module by Lemma 2.2. Hence $\Gamma_{I,J}(E(M)) \cong E(\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$. Thus

$$G \dim_{(I,J)} M = \sum_{p \in W(I,J)} \mu^0(p, M) = G \dim \Gamma_{I,J}(M).$$

□

Corollary 2.4. *If M is (I, J) -torsion, then M is (I, J) -minimax if and only if M is minimax.*

Proof. The assertion follows from Proposition 2.3. □

Remark 2.5. Let I and J be two ideals of R and let M be an R -module.

- (i) Assume that $I = 0$. Then M is $(0, J)$ -minimax if and only if M is minimax.
- (ii) If I' and J' be two ideals of R such that $I' \subseteq I$ and $J \subseteq J'$ and M is (I', J') -minimax, then M is (I, J) -minimax. In particular, every minimax module is (I, J) -minimax.
- (iii) If M is Noethrian or Artinian, then M is (I, J) -minimax.

Proof. (i) Clearly $W(0, J) = \text{Spec}(R)$. Hence $G \dim_{(0,J)} M/N = G \dim M/N$ for any submodule N of M . This complete the proof of (i).

(ii) Let I' and J' be two ideals of R such that $I' \subseteq I$ and $J \subseteq J'$. We then have $W(I, J) \subseteq W(I', J')$. So that

$$G \dim_{(I,J)} M/N = \sum_{p \in W(I,J)} \mu^0(p, M) \leq \sum_{p \in W(I',J')} \mu^0(p, M) = G \dim_{(I',J')} M/N$$

for any submodule N of M . This proves the assertion.

(iii) Assume that M is Noetherian or Artinian. Then M is minimax by definition. Hence, by (ii), M is (I, J) -minimax. □

The following proposition is needed in the proof of the main theorem of this paper.

Proposition 2.6. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and let*

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of R -modules. Then M is (I, J) -minimax if and only if M' and M'' are both (I, J) -minimax.

Proof. Assume that M' is a submodule of M and that $M'' = M/M'$. If M is (I, J) -minimax, then from the definition clearly that M' and M/M' are (I, J) -minimax. Now suppose that M' and M/M' are (I, J) -minimax. Let N be an arbitrary submodule of M and let $p \in \text{Ass}(M/N) \cap W(I, J)$. Then the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{M' + N}{N} \rightarrow \frac{M}{N} \rightarrow \frac{M}{M' + N} \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_p}(k(p), \frac{M'_p}{M'_p \cap N_p}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_p}(k(p), \frac{M_p}{N_p}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{R_p}(k(p), \frac{M_p}{M'_p + N_p}),$$

where $k(p) = R_p/pR_p$. Moreover, since $\text{Ass}_R(M/N) \subseteq \text{Ass}_R(\frac{M'+N}{N}) \cup \text{Ass}_R(\frac{M}{M'+N})$ and the sets $\text{Ass}_R(\frac{M'+N}{N}) \cap W(I, J)$ and $\text{Ass}_R(\frac{M}{M'+N}) \cap W(I, J)$ are finite, it follows that $G \dim_{(I, J)}(M/N) < \infty$ and so M is (I, J) -minimax. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let I and J be two ideals of R . Then any quotient and any finite direct sum of (I, J) -minimax modules, is (I, J) -minimax.*

Proof. The assertion follows from the definition and Proposition 2.6. \square

Corollary 2.8. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and let M be a finitely generated R -module and N be an (I, J) -minimax R -module. Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ and $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$ are (I, J) -minimax modules for all i . In particular, the R -modules $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, N)$ and $\text{Tor}_i^R(R/I, N)$ are (I, J) -minimax for all i .*

Proof. Since R is Noetherian and M is finitely generated, it follows that M possesses a free resolution

$$\mathbb{F}_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow F_n \rightarrow F_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow 0,$$

whose free modules have finite ranks.

Thus $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = H^i(\text{Hom}_R(\mathbb{F}_\bullet, N))$ is subquotient of a direct sum of finitely many copies of N . Therefore, it follows from Corollary 2.7 that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \geq 0$. By using a similar proof as above we can deduce that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \geq 0$. \square

Proposition 2.9. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and let M be an (I, J) -minimax R -module such that $\text{Ass}_R(M) \subseteq W(I, J)$. Then $H_{I, J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. If $i = 0$, then $H_{I, J}^0(M) = \Gamma_{I, J}(M)$ is a submodule of M and by Proposition 2.6, $\Gamma_{I, J}(M)$ is (I, J) -minimax. As $\text{Ass}_R(M) \subseteq W(I, J)$, by [12, Proposition 1.7], M is an (I, J) -torsion R -module and so $M = \Gamma_{I, J}(M)$. Consequently, by [12, Corollary 1.13], $H_{I, J}^i(M) = 0$ for all $i > 0$ and so $H_{I, J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \geq 0$, as required. \square

Now we state Gruson's Theorem that will be needed.

Theorem 2.10. [13, Theorem 4.1] (*Gruson's Theorem*) *Let M be a finitely generated R -module. If L is a finitely generated R -module with $\text{Supp } L \subseteq \text{Supp } M$, then there exists a chain*

$$0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_k = L,$$

such that the factors L_j/L_{j-1} are homomorphic images of a direct sum of finitely many copies of M

Theorem 2.11. *Let I and J be two ideals of R . Let M be a finitely generated R -module and N an arbitrary R -module. Let t be a non-negative integer such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$. Then for any finitely generated R -module L with $\text{Supp } L \subseteq \text{Supp } M$, the module $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$.*

Proof. Since $\text{Supp } L \subseteq \text{Supp } M$, according to Lemma 2.10 there exists a chain

$$0 = L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_k = L,$$

of R -module such that the modules L_j/L_{j-1} are homomorphic images of a direct sum of finitely many copies of M . Now consider the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow K \rightarrow M^n \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 &\rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_2 \rightarrow L_2/L_1 \rightarrow 0 \\ &\vdots \\ 0 &\rightarrow L_{k-1} \rightarrow L_k \rightarrow L_k/L_{k-1} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

for some positive integer n .

Now from the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(L_{j-1}, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(L_j/L_{j-1}, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(L_j, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(L_{j-1}, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and an easy induction on k , it suffices to prove the case when $k = 1$.

Thus there is an exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow M^n \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some finitely generated R -module K .

Now, we use induction on t . First, $\text{Hom}_R(L, N)$ is a submodule of $\text{Hom}_R(M^n, N)$, hence in view of the assumption and Corollary 2.7 $\text{Ext}_R^0(L, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax. So assume that $t > 0$ and that $\text{Ext}_R^j(L', N)$ is (I, J) -minimax for every finitely generated R -module L' with $\text{Supp } L' \subseteq \text{Supp } M$ and for all $j \leq t - 1$. Now the exact sequence $(*)$ induces the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(K, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(L, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M^n, N) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Hence, by the inductive hypothesis, $\text{Ext}_R^{i-1}(K, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$. On the other hand, according to Corollary 2.7, since $\text{Ext}_R^i(M^n, N) \cong \bigoplus^n \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(M^n, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax. Therefore, it follows from Proposition 2.6 that $\text{Ext}_R^i(L, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$ and the inductive step is complete. \square

Corollary 2.12. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and let t be a non-negative integer.*

Then for any R -module M the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$.
- (ii) For any ideal I' of R with $I' \supseteq I$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I', M)$ is (I', J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$.
- (iii) For any finitely generated R -module N with $\text{Supp } N \subseteq W(I, J)$, $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M)$

is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$.

(iv) For any minimal prime ideal p over I , $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/p, M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $\text{Supp}_R(R/I') = V(I') \subseteq V(I) = \text{Supp}_R(R/I)$, we have $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I', M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$ by Theorem 2.11. Now it follows from remark 2.5 (ii) that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I', M)$ is (I', J) -minimax for all $i \leq t$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) This parts follows from [1, Exercise 7.18] using induction.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Let p be a minimal prime ideal over I . Then $\text{Supp}_R(R/p) = V(p) \subseteq V(I)$. Hence, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/p, M)$ is I -minimax for all $i \leq t$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) Let p_1, \dots, p_n be the minimal primes of I . Then by assumption, the R -modules $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/p_j, M)$ are (I, J) -minimax for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Hence by

Corollary 2.7, $\bigoplus_{j=1}^n \text{Ext}_R^i(R/p_j, M) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n R/p_j, M)$ is (I, J) -minimax. Since

$\text{Supp}(\bigoplus_{j=1}^n R/p_j) = \text{Supp } R/I$, it follows from Theorem 2.11 that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is (I, J) -minimax, as required. \square

3. (I, J) -COMINIMAX MODULES AND LOCAL COHOMOLOGY

Let R be a Noetherian ring and I and J be two ideals of R and M be an R -module. Recall that M is said to be (I, J) -cofinite if M has support in $W(I, J)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is finitely generated R -module for each i . This motivates the following definition:

Definition 3.1. Let R be a Noetherian ring and let I and J be two ideals of R . We say that an R -module M is (I, J) -cominimax if $\text{Supp } M \subseteq W(I, J)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \geq 0$.

Example 3.2. (i) Let I and J be two ideals of R and let M be an (I, J) -minimax R -module such that $\text{Supp } M \subseteq W(I, J)$. Then it follows from Corollary 2.8 that M is (I, J) -cominimax. In particular, every minimax R -module with support in $W(I, J)$ is (I, J) -cominimax.

(ii) Let I and J be two ideals of R . Then every (I, J) -cofinite R -module is (I, J) -cominimax. In particular, any Noetherian or Artinian R -module with support in $W(I, J)$ is (I, J) -cominimax.

(iii) Let I and J be two ideals of R and let N be a pure submodule of an R -module

M . Then M is (I, J) -cominimax if and only if N and M/N are (I, J) -cominimax. In fact, P. M. Cohn's characterization of purity (see [11, Theorem 3.56]) implies that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M/N) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for all i (see also the proof of [10, Proposition 2.7]). Hence, the result follows from Proposition 2.6.

Proposition 3.3. *Let I and J be two ideals of R . Let*

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of R -modules such that two of the modules are (I, J) -cominimax. Then so is the third one.

Proof. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/I, M') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/I, M) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Now the result follows easily from Proposition 2.6. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let I and J be two ideals of R . Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism between two (I, J) -cominimax modules such that one of three modules $\text{Ker } f$, $\text{Im } f$ and $\text{Coker } f$ is (I, J) -cominimax. Then all of them are (I, J) -cominimax.*

Proof. The result follows from Proposition 3.3 and the following exact sequences.

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{Im } f \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im } f \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Coker } f \rightarrow 0.$$

\square

Proposition 3.5. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and let M be an R -module such that $\text{Supp } M \subseteq W(I, J)$ and $(0 :_M I)$ has finite Goldie dimension. Then M has finite Goldie dimension.*

Proof. Since $(0 :_M I)$ has finite Goldie dimension and $\text{Supp } M \subseteq W(I, J)$, by [5, Exercise 1.2.27], $\text{Ass}_R(M)$ is finite. On the other hand, for any $p \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$, one easily has $0 :_{M_p} pR_p = 0 :_{(0 :_{M_p} IR_p)} pR_p$ since $p \supseteq I$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{R_p}(k(p), M_p) &= \text{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, M_p) \\ &\cong 0 :_{M_p} pR_p \\ &= 0 :_{(0 :_{M_p} IR_p)} pR_p \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, 0 :_{M_p} IR_p) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{R_p}(k(p), 0 :_{M_p} IR_p), \end{aligned}$$

as $k(p)$ -vector spaces, where $k(p) = R_p/pR_p$. Therefore, $\mu^0(p, M)$ is finite and so $G \dim M < \infty$. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and let M be an (I, J) -cominimax R -module. Then M has finite Goldie dimension. In particular the set of associated primes of M is finite.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.5. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let I and J be two ideals of R . Let M be an R -module such that $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax for all i . Then $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all i .*

Proof. It is well-known that $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, M) \cong 0 :_M I$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(R/I, M) &\cong 0 :_M I \\ &= 0 :_{\Gamma_{I,J}(M)} I \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_R^0(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefor for $i = 0$ the statement is true. Let $i > 0$ and do induction on i . We first reduce to the case $\Gamma_{I,J}(M) = 0$. To do this, let $\bar{M} = M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$. Then we have the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \bar{M}) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

and the isomorphism $H_{I,J}^i(M) \cong H_{I,J}^i(\bar{M})$ for $i > 0$, by [12, Corollary 1.13]. So in view of Proposition 2.6, we may assume that M is (I, J) -torsion free. Let E be the

injective envelop of M and set $L := E/M$. Since $\Gamma_{I,J}(M) = 0$, we have $\Gamma_{I,J}(E) \cap M = 0$. It follows that $\Gamma_{I,J}(E) = 0$. Then $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, E) = 0$ and we therefore get the isomorphisms $H_{I,J}^i(L) \cong H_{I,J}^{i+1}(M)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, L) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/I, M)$ for all $i \geq 0$. Now the assertion follows by induction. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and let M be an R -module such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all i . If t is non-negative integer such that $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax for all $i \neq t$, then $H_{I,J}^t(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax.*

Proof. We use induction on t . Let $\bar{M} := M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$. Then by [12, Corollary 1.13], if $i > 0$, then $H_{I,J}^i(\bar{M}) \cong H_{I,J}^i(M)$ and if $i = 0$, then $H_{I,J}^i(\bar{M}) = 0$. If $t = 0$, then $H_{I,J}^i(\bar{M})$ is (I, J) -cominimax for all i . Hence by Proposition 3.7, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \bar{M})$ is (I, J) -minimax for all i . Therefor the exactness of $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{I,J}(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow \bar{M} \rightarrow 0$ implies that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is (I, J) -minimax for all i . It follows that $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax. Let $t > 0$ and suppose that the result has been proved for $t - 1$. Since $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax, the exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \bar{M}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

allows us to assume that M is (I, J) -torsion free. Let E be the injective envelope of M and put $L = E/M$. Then $\Gamma_{I,J}(E) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, E) = 0$ and we therefore get the isomorphisms $H_{I,J}^i(L) \cong H_{I,J}^{i+1}(M)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, L) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/I, M)$ for all $i \geq 0$. Now the assertion follows by induction. \square

Corollary 3.9. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and let M be an (I, J) -minimax R -module. If t is a non- negative integer such that $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax for all $i \neq t$, then $H_{I,J}^t(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.8 and Proposition 3.8. \square

Proposition 3.10. *Let I and J be two ideals of R such that $I \subseteq J$ and M an (I, J) -minimax R -module. Then $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax.*

Proof. Since $H_{I,J}^0(M)$ is a submodule of M , it turns out that $H_{I,J}^0(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax by Proposition 2.6 and Example 3.2 (i). Since $I \subseteq J$, it is easy that $\Gamma_{I,J}(-)$ is the identity functor and $H_{I,J}^i(-) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. Therefore $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax. \square

4. FINITENESS OF ASSOCIATED PRIMES

In this section, we show that the subjects of the previous sections can be used to prove a finiteness result about local cohomology modules. In fact, we generalize the main result about of Azami, Naghipour and Vakili to (I, J) -minimax modules. The main result is Theorem 4.2. The following theorem will serve to shorten the proof of the main theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and let M be an R -module. Let t be a non-negative integer such that $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax for all $i < t$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$ is (I, J) -minimax. Then for any (I, J) -minimax submodule N of $H_{I,J}^t(M)$ and for any finitely generated R -module L with $\text{Supp } L \subseteq W(I, J)$, the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(L, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$ is (I, J) -minimax.*

Proof. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow H_{I,J}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{I,J}^t(M)/N \rightarrow 0$$

provides the following exact sequence:

$$\text{Hom}_R(L, H_{I,J}^t(M)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(L, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(L, N) \rightarrow \dots$$

By Corollary 2.8, $\text{Ext}_R^1(L, N)$ is (I, J) -minimax, and so in view of Proposition 2.6 it is sufficient to show that the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(L, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is (I, J) -minimax. By Corollary 2.12, it is enough to show that the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is (I, J) -minimax.

We use induction on t . When $t = 0$, the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, M)$ is (I, J) -minimax, by assumption. Since $0 :_M I = 0 :_{\Gamma_{I,J}(M)} I$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^0(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, M),$$

it follows that $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^0(M))$ is (I, J) -minimax.

Now suppose, inductively, that $t > 0$ and that the result is true for $t - 1$. Since $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ is (I, J) -cominimax, it follows that $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i \geq 0$. On the other hand, the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{I,J}(M) \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M) \rightarrow 0$$

induces the exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M)).$$

Hence, by Proposition 2.3 and the assumption, the R -module $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is (I, J) -minimax. Also since $H_{I,J}^0(M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) = 0$ and $H_{I,J}^i(M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \cong H_{I,J}^i(M)$ for all $i > 0$, it follows that $H_{I,J}^i(M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ is (I, J) -cominimax for all $i < t$. Therefore we may assume that M is (I, J) -torsion free. Let E be an injective envelope of M and put $M_1 := E/M$. Then $\Gamma_{I,J}(E) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, E) = 0$. Consequently, $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M_1) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/I, M)$ and $H_{I,J}^i(M_1) \cong H_{I,J}^{i+1}(M)$ for all $i \geq 0$ (including the case $i = 0$). The induction hypothesis applied to M_1 yields that $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t-1}(M_1))$ is (I, J) -minimax. Hence $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is (I, J) -minimax. \square

Now we are prepared to prove the main theorem of this section, which is a generalization of the main result of Azami, Naghipour and Vakili.

Theorem 4.2. *Let I and J be two ideals of R and let M be an (I, J) -minimax R -module. Let t be a non-negative integer such that $H_{I,J}^i(M)$ is (I, J) -minimax for all $i < t$. Then for any (I, J) -minimax submodule N of $H_{I,J}^t(M)$, the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$ is (I, J) -minimax. In particular, the Goldie dimension of $H_{I,J}^t(M)/N$ is finite and so the set $\text{Ass}_R(H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$ is finite.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 2.8. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and let I, J be two ideals of R and M a finitely generated R -module. Let $\text{Obj}(N)$ (resp. $\text{Obj}(A)$) denote the category of all Noetherian (resp. Artinian) R -modules and R -homomorphisms. Let t be a non-negative integer such that $H_{I,J}^i(M) \in \text{Obj}(N) \cup \text{Obj}(A)$ for all $i < t$. Then the R -module $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is (I, J) -minimax and so the set $\text{Ass}_R(H_{I,J}^t(M))$ is finite.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 4.1 and the fact that the class of (I, J) -minimax modules contains all Noetherian and Artinian modules. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra, University of Oxford, 1969.
- [2] J. Azami, R. Naghipour and B. Vakili, Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for \underline{a} -minimax modules, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 137, 439-448 (2009).

- [3] M. P. Brodmann and F. A. Lashgari, A finiteness result for associated primes of local cohomology modules, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 128, 2851-2853 (2000).
- [4] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: An algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [5] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 39, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK. 1998.
- [6] K. Divaani-Azar and M. A. Esmkhani, Artinianness of local cohomology modules of ZD -modules, *Communications in Algebra*, Vol. 33, 2857-2863 (2005).
- [7] E. Enochs, Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 92, 179-187 (1984).
- [8] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra*, Walter de Gruyter. Berlin. New York. 2000.
- [9] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on modules and rings*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 189, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1999.
- [10] L. Melkersson, Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal, *Journal Of Algebra*, Vol. 285, 649-668 (2005).
- [11] J. J. Rotman, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1979.
- [12] R. Takahashi, Y. Yoshino, T. Yoshizawa, Local cohomology based on nonclosed support defined by pair of ideals, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, Vol. 213, Number 4, 582-600 (2009).
- [13] W. Vasconcelos, *Divisor Theory in Module Categories*, North- Holland Publishing Company, Amesterdam, 1974.
- [14] T. Zink. Endlichkeitsbedingungen für moduln über einem Noetherschen ring, *Mathematische Nachrichten Journal*, Vol. 164, 239-252 (1974).
- [15] H. Zöschinger, Minimax-module, *Journal Of Algebra* Vol. 102, 1-32 (1986), .
- [16] H. Zöschinger, Über die bedingung Maximal für radikalvolle Untermoduln, *Hokkaido Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 17, 101-116 (1988).