

METALLIC STRUCTURES ON TANGENT BUNDLES OF LORENTZIAN PARA-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

M. ALTUNBAŞ   AND Ç. ŞENGÜL 

Article type: Research Article

(Received: 27 April 2022, Received in revised form: 14 June 2022)

(Accepted: 02 July 2022, Published Online: 02 July 2022)

ABSTRACT. Let M be a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with a Lorentzian para-Sasakian structure (ϕ, η, ξ, g) . In this paper, we introduce some metallic structures on tangent bundle of the manifold M using vertical, horizontal and complete lifts of the Lorentzian para-Sasakian structure (ϕ, η, ξ, g) and investigate their parallelity. We also consider fundamental 2-forms and try to find conditions under which these 2-forms are closed.

Keywords: Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold, Tangent bundle, Complete lift.

2020 MSC: Primary 53C15, 53C25, 53C21.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. Hreţcanu and Crasmareanu defined a metallic structure on M as a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field φ which satisfies $\varphi^2 = p\varphi + qI$, where p, q are positive integers and I is the identity map acting on vector fields of M [7]. It is said that g is φ -compatible if

$$g(\varphi X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(M),$$

or equivalently

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = pg(X, \varphi Y) + qg(X, Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \chi(M).$$

In this case, (M, g, φ) is called a metallic Riemannian manifold. Particular cases of these manifolds are listed below:

- If $p = q = 1$, then (M, g, ϕ) is a golden Riemannian manifold.
- If $p = 2, q = 1$, then (M, g, ϕ) is a silver Riemannian manifold.
- If $p = 3, q = 1$, then (M, g, ϕ) is a bronze Riemannian manifold.
- If $p = 4, q = 1$, then (M, g, ϕ) is a subtle Riemannian manifold.
- If $p = 1, q = 2$, then (M, g, ϕ) is a copper Riemannian manifold.
- If $p = 1, q = 3$, then (M, g, ϕ) is a nickel Riemannian manifold.

Metallic structures and their particular cases on various bundles of Riemannian manifolds have been of great interest and were investigated by many geometers (see for instance [1], [4], [6], [8], [9], [10], [11], [13], [14], [15], [16]).

✉ maltunbas@erzincan.edu.tr, ORCID: 0000-0002-0371-9913

DOI: 10.22103/jmmr.2022.19411.1247

Publisher: Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman

How to cite: M. Altunbaş, Ç. Şengül, *Metallic Structures on Tangent Bundles of Lorentzian Para-Sasakian Manifolds*, J. Mahani Math. Res. 2023; 12(1): 137-149.



© the Authors

In addition to above studies, metallic structures on tangent bundles can be investigated by choosing a special manifold as the base manifold. According to this, in [4], Azami studied metallic structures on tangent bundles of para-Sasakian manifolds. He constructed these structures using some lifts of a para-Sasakian structure. He also investigated their integrability and parallelity.

In this paper, we construct metallic structures on tangent bundles of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds by using vertical, horizontal and complete lifts of a Lorentzian para-Sasakian structure and study their parallelity. Throughout the paper, all manifolds, tensor fields and connections are always assumed to be differentiable of class C^∞ .

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will present some basic facts about Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds and tangent bundles.

Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds were introduced by Matsumoto in 1989 [11]. Since then, a lot of physical and geometric properties have been studied intensively by many authors (for example see [2], [5], [12]). Curves on Lorentzian manifolds are also studied widely by many authors (see for example [18]).

Definition 2.1. [11] A quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) given on an n -dimensional manifold M , where ϕ is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field, η is a 1-form, ξ is a timelike vector field and g is the Lorentzian metric satisfying for every $X, Y \in \chi(M)$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^2 X &= X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = -1, \\ \eta(\phi X) &= 0, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad g(X, Y) = g(\phi X, \phi Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)\end{aligned}$$

is called a Lorentzian para-contact metric structure on M and the manifold M associated with the metric g is said to be the Lorentzian para-contact metric manifold. Moreover, if the relations

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla_X \phi)Y &= [g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)]\xi + [X + \eta(X)\xi]\eta(Y) \quad \text{and} \\ \nabla_X \xi &= \phi X \Leftrightarrow (\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = g(\phi X, Y)\end{aligned}$$

hold on M for every $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ then the Lorentzian para-contact metric manifold M is known as a Lorentzian para-Sasakian (briefly, LP-Sasakian) manifold. Here, ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of M .

In the second part of this section, we only recall essentials about tangent bundles of differentiable manifolds to be used in the sequel (for more details see [19]). Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold. The tangent bundle TM of the manifold M is the disjoint union of the tangent spaces at each point of M , i.e., $TM = \cup_{p \in M} T_p M$. The manifold TM is of $2n$ -dimension and the differentiable structure on M induces a differentiable structure on the tangent bundle TM turning it into a differentiable manifold.

Let f, X, ω and F be a function, a vector field, a 1-form and a $(1, 1)$ -type tensor field on M , respectively. We will denote their vertical lifts by f^v, X^v, ω^v, F^v ,

their complete lifts by f^c, X^c, ω^c, F^c and their horizontal lifts by f^h, X^h, ω^h, F^h to tangent bundle TM . The following relations are satisfied:

(1)

$$\begin{aligned}(fX)^v &= f^v X^v, X^v f^v = 0, F^v(X^v) = 0, \omega^v(X^v) = 0, \\ (fX)^c &= f^c X^v + f^v X^c, X^c f^c = (Xf)^c, F^c(X^c) = (F(X))^c, \\ X^v(f^c) &= X^c(f^v) = (Xf)^v, F^c(X^v) = (F(X))^v, F^v(X^c) = (F(X))^v, \\ \omega^v(X^c) &= \omega^c(X^v) = (\omega(X))^v, \omega^c(X^c) = (\omega(X))^c, \\ \omega^h(X^h) &= 0, \omega^h(X^v) = (\omega(X))^v, F^h(X^h) = (F(X))^h, F^h(X^v) = (FX)^v.\end{aligned}$$

Remark that if $P(x)$ is a polynomial in one variable x , then $P(F^c) = (P(F))^c$ and $P(F^h) = (P(F))^h$.

For the Lie brackets, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[X^v, Y^v] &= 0, [X^v, Y^c] = [X, Y]^v, [X^c, Y^c] = [X, Y]^c, \\ [X^v, Y^h] &= -(\nabla_Y X)^v, [X^h, Y^h] = [X, Y]^h - \gamma R(X, Y),\end{aligned}$$

where R is the curvature tensor of the metric g on M and the vertical vector lift $\gamma R(X, Y)$ is defined by $\gamma(R(X, Y)) = (R(X, Y)u)^v$, where u is the canonical vector field on TM .

On the other hand, it is known that the complete lift metric g^c of the metric g is a semi-Riemannian metric on TM and it is defined by

$$\begin{cases} g^c(X^v, Y^c) = g^c(X^c, Y^v) = (g(X, Y))^v, \\ g^c(X^v, Y^v) = 0, \\ g^c(X^c, Y^c) = (g(X, Y))^c, \forall X, Y \in \chi(M). \end{cases}$$

The complete lift connection ∇^c of a linear connection ∇ satisfies the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{X^v}^c Y^v &= (\nabla_X Y)^v, \nabla_{X^c}^c Y^c = (\nabla_X Y)^c, \nabla_{X^v}^c Y^c = \nabla_{X^c}^c Y^v = (\nabla_X Y)^v, \\ \nabla^c F^v &= (\nabla F)^v, \nabla^c F^c = (\nabla F)^c.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the horizontal lift metric g^h is a semi-Riemannian metric and it is defined by

$$\begin{cases} g^h(X^v, Y^h) = g^h(X^h, Y^v) = (g(X, Y))^v, \\ g^h(X^v, Y^v) = 0, \\ g^h(X^h, Y^h) = 0, \forall X, Y \in \chi(M). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the horizontal lift connection ∇^h of a linear connection ∇ satisfies the following relations:

$$\nabla_{X^v}^h Y^v = \nabla_{X^v}^h Y^h = 0, \nabla_{X^h}^h Y^v = (\nabla_X Y)^v, \nabla_{X^h}^h Y^h = (\nabla_X Y)^h.$$

Finally, a Riemannian metric g^s , which is known as the Sasaki metric, is defined by

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} g^s(X^h, Y^h) = (g(X, Y))^v, \\ g^s(X^h, Y^v) = 0, \\ g^s(X^v, Y^v) = (g(X, Y))^v, \forall X, Y \in \chi(M). \end{cases}$$

3. Metallic structures on tangent bundles of LP-Sasakian manifolds

In the following, let M be an n -dimensional LP-Sasakian manifold with the quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) . We construct a metallic structure on TM using complete lift and study its parallelity. We also define a 2-form and find a condition under which it is closed. We shall denote $\sigma_{p,q} = \frac{p+\sqrt{p^2+4q}}{2}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The $(1, 1)$ -tensor field J defined by*

$$(3) \quad J = \frac{p}{2}I + \left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\right)(\phi^c - \eta^v \otimes \xi^v - \eta^c \otimes \xi^c)$$

is a metallic structure on the tangent bundle of a LP-Sasakian manifold with the quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) .

Proof. The following relations are occurred from the definition of LP-Sasakian manifolds and lifts to tangent bundles:

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi^c)^2 &= (\phi^2)^c = I + \eta^c \otimes \xi^v + \eta^v \otimes \xi^c, \\ \eta^v(\xi^c) &= \eta^c(\xi^v) = -1, \quad \eta^v(\xi^v) = \eta^c(\xi^c) = 0, \\ \phi^c(\xi^v) &= \phi^c(\xi^c) = 0, \quad \eta^v \circ \phi^c = \eta^c \circ \phi^c = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we use these relations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J(\xi^v) &= \frac{p}{2}I(\xi^v) + \left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\right)(\phi^c - \eta^v \otimes \xi^v - \eta^c \otimes \xi^c)(\xi^v) \\ &= \frac{p}{2}\xi^v + \left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\right)(\phi^c(\xi^v) - \eta^v(\xi^v)\xi^v - \eta^c(\xi^v)\xi^c) \\ &= \frac{p}{2}\xi^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\xi^c. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J(\xi^c) &= \frac{p}{2}\xi^c + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\xi^v, \\ J(\phi^c(\tilde{X})) &= \frac{p}{2}\phi^c(\tilde{X}) + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}(\tilde{X} + \eta^c(\tilde{X})\xi^v + \eta^v(\tilde{X})\xi^c). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$J(\tilde{X}) = \frac{p}{2}\tilde{X} + \left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\right)(\phi^c(\tilde{X}) - \eta^v(\tilde{X})\xi^v - \eta^c(\tilde{X})\xi^c)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 J^2(\tilde{X}) &= \frac{p}{2}J(\tilde{X}) + \left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\right)(J(\phi^c(\tilde{X})) - \eta^v(\tilde{X})J(\xi^v) - \eta^c(\tilde{X})J(\xi^c)) \\
 &= \frac{p}{2}\left[\frac{p}{2}\tilde{X} + \left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\right)(\phi^c(\tilde{X}) - \eta^v(\tilde{X})\xi^v - \eta^c(\tilde{X})\xi^c)\right] \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\right)\left[\frac{p}{2}\phi^c(\tilde{X}) + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}(\tilde{X} + \eta^c(\tilde{X})\xi^v + \eta^v(\tilde{X})\xi^c \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \eta^v(\tilde{X})\left(\frac{p}{2}\xi^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\xi^c\right) - \eta^c(\tilde{X})\left(\frac{p}{2}\xi^c + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}\xi^v\right)\right] \\
 &= \left(\frac{p^2}{4} + \frac{p^2+4q}{4}\right)\tilde{X} + p\frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}(\phi^c(\tilde{X}) - \eta^v(\tilde{X})\xi^v - \eta^c(\tilde{X})\xi^c) \\
 &= pJ(\tilde{X}) + q\tilde{X}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we proved the proposition. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let M be a LP-Sasakian manifold with the quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) and J is given as (3). In this case, the following equation is satisfied*

$$g^c(J\tilde{X}, J\tilde{Y}) = pg^c(\tilde{X}, J\tilde{Y}) + qg^c(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \quad \forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \chi(TM).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g^c(X^v, Y^v) &= 0, \quad g^c(X^v, \xi^c) = (g(X, \xi))^v = (\eta(X))^v, \\
 g^c((\phi X)^v, \xi^c) &= (g(\phi X, \xi))^v = (g(X, \phi\xi))^v = 0, \\
 g^c(\xi^c, \xi^c) &= (g(\xi, \xi))^c = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
 JX^v &= \frac{p}{2}X^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}(\phi^c(X^v) - \underbrace{\eta^v(X^v)}_0\xi^v - \eta^c(X^v)\xi^c) \\
 (4) \quad &= \frac{p}{2}X^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}((\phi(X))^v - (\eta(X))^v\xi^c),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 JX^c &= \frac{p}{2}X^c + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}(\phi^c(X^c) - \eta^v(X^c)\xi^v - \eta^c(X^c)\xi^c) \\
 (5) \quad &= \frac{p}{2}X^c + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q}-p}{2}((\phi(X))^c - (\eta(X))^v\xi^v - (\eta(X))^c\xi^c).
 \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
g^c(JX^v, JY^v) &= g^c\left(\frac{p}{2}X^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}((\phi X)^v - (\eta X)^v \xi^c), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{p}{2}Y^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}((\phi Y)^v - (\eta Y)^v \xi^c)\right) \\
&= g^c\left(\frac{p}{2}X^v, -\frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\eta Y)^v \xi^c\right) \\
&\quad - g^c\left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\phi X)^v, \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\eta Y)^v \xi^c\right) \\
&\quad - g^c\left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\eta X)^v \xi^c, \frac{p}{2}Y^v\right) \\
&\quad - g^c\left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\eta X)^v \xi^c, \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\phi Y)^v\right) \\
&\quad - g^c\left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\eta X)^v \xi^c, \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\eta Y)^v \xi^c\right) \\
&= -\frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)p}{2}(\eta X)^v (\eta Y)^v, \\
g^c(X^v, JY^v) &= g^c\left(X^v, \frac{p}{2}Y^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}((\phi Y)^v - (\eta Y)^v \xi^c)\right) \\
&= -\frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\eta X)^v (\eta Y)^v.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$g^c(JX^v, JY^v) = pg^c(X^v, JY^v) + qg^c(X^v, Y^v).$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned}
g^c(JX^v, JY^c) &= g^c\left(\frac{p}{2}X^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}((\phi X)^v - (\eta X)^v \xi^c), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{p}{2}Y^c + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}((\phi Y)^c - (\eta Y)^v \xi^v - (\eta Y)^c \xi^c)\right) \\
&= \frac{p^2}{4}(g(X, Y))^v + \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)p}{4}[(g(X, \phi Y))^v - (\eta X)^v (\eta Y)^c] \\
&\quad + \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)p}{4}(g(\phi X, Y))^v + \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)^2}{4}[(g(X, Y))^v \\
&\quad + (\eta X)^v (\eta Y)^v] - \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)p}{4}(\eta X)^v (\eta Y)^c - \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)^2}{4}(\eta X)^v (\eta Y)^v \\
&= \left(\frac{p^2}{2} + q\right)(g(X, Y))^v + \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)p}{2}[(g(X, \phi Y))^v - (\eta X)^v (\eta Y)^c],
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
g^c(X^v, JY^c) &= g^c\left(X^v, \frac{p}{2}Y^c + \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2}((\phi Y)^c - (\eta Y)^v \xi^v - (\eta Y)^c \xi^c)\right) \\
&= \frac{p}{2}g(X, Y)^v + \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2}[(g(X, \phi Y))^v - (\eta X)^v (\eta Y)^c],
\end{aligned}$$

we occur

$$g^c(JX^v, JY^c) = pg^c(X^v, JY^c) + qg^c(X^v, Y^c).$$

By the same way, we can prove the other cases. \square

Theorem 3.3. *If M is a LP-Sasakian manifold with the quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) and J is given as (3), then the metallic structure J cannot be parallel with respect to ∇^c .*

Proof. We know that the 1-form η in LP-Sasakian manifolds induces an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional distribution D as

$$(6) \quad D_p = \{X \in T_p M : \eta(X) = 0\}, \quad \forall p \in M.$$

Now, for all $X \in D$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X^c}^c J)\xi^c &= \nabla_{X^c}^c(J\xi^c) - J(\nabla_{X^c}^c \xi^c) \\ &= \nabla_{X^c}^c \left(\frac{p}{2} \xi^c + \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2} ((\phi\xi)^c - (\eta(\xi))^v \xi^v) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\eta(\xi))^c \xi^c - \frac{p}{2} (\nabla_X \xi)^c - \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2} ((\phi(\nabla_X \xi))^c \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\eta(\nabla_X \xi))^v \xi^v - (\eta(\nabla_X \xi))^c \xi^c) \right) \\ &= \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2} [\nabla_{X^c}^c (-\eta(\xi))^v \xi^v - \underbrace{(\eta(\xi))^c \xi^c}_0 - \phi(\nabla_X \xi)^c \\ &\quad + (\eta(\nabla_X \xi))^v \xi^v + (\eta(\nabla_X \xi))^c \xi^c]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla_X \xi = \phi X$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X^c}^c J)\xi^c &= \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2} [\nabla_{X^c}^c \xi^v - (X - \eta(X)\xi)^c] \quad (\text{since } X \in D, \eta(X) = 0) \\ &= \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2} ((\nabla_X \xi)^v - X^c) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.4. *Consider a 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) : (x, y, z) \in R^3\}$, where (x, y, z) are standard coordinates in R^3 . The linearly independent vector fields are selected as*

$$E_1 = -e^x \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad E_2 = e^x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \quad E_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

The Lorentzian metric g is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g(E_1, E_2) &= g(E_1, E_3) = g(E_2, E_3) = 0, \\ g(E_1, E_1) &= g(E_2, E_2) = 1, \quad g(E_3, E_3) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

The 1-form η is defined by $\eta(Z) = g(Z, E_3)$ for any vector field Z on M . The $(1, 1)$ -tensor field ϕ is given by $\phi(E_1) = -E_1$, $\phi(E_2) = -E_2$, $\phi(E_3) = 0$. The

linearity property of ϕ and g gives

$$\begin{aligned}\eta(E_3) &= -1, \quad \phi^2(X) = X + \eta(X)E_3, \\ g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y),\end{aligned}$$

for every vector fields X, Y on M . Therefore for $E_3 = \xi$, the quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) determines a Lorentzian paracontact structure on M . Thus, M is a 3-dimensional LP-Sasakian manifold [17]. It can be easily shown that the metallic structure J is given as (3) is not parallel with respect to ∇^c , since $(\nabla_X E_3)^v \neq X^c$ for all $X \in \chi(M)$.

Proposition 3.5. *If M is a LP-Sasakian manifold with the quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) , $\nabla\phi = 0$ and J is given as (3), then the fundamental 2-form Φ defined by*

$$\Phi(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = g^c(\tilde{X}, J\tilde{Y}) - \frac{p}{2}g^c(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \quad \forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \chi(TM),$$

is closed if and only if the following equation is satisfied:

$$(7) \quad g(\nabla_Y X, \phi Z) + g(\nabla_Z Y, \phi X) + g(\nabla_X Z, \phi Y) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \chi(M).$$

Proof. We use the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned}3d\Phi(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) &= \tilde{X}\Phi(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) + \tilde{Y}\Phi(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{X}) + \tilde{Z}\Phi(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \\ &\quad - \Phi([\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}], \tilde{Z}) - \Phi([\tilde{Z}, \tilde{X}], \tilde{Y}) - \Phi([\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}], \tilde{X}),\end{aligned}$$

$\forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z} \in \chi(TM)$. Therefore, we write

$$\begin{aligned}3d\Phi(X^c, Y^c, Z^v) &= X^c g^c(Y^c, JZ^v) - \frac{p}{2}X^c g^c(Y^c, Z^v) + Y^c g^c(Z^v, JX^c) \\ &\quad - \frac{p}{2}Y^c g^c(Z^v, X^c) + Z^v g^c(X^c, JY^c) - \frac{p}{2}Z^v g^c(X^c, Y^v) \\ &\quad - g^c([X, Y]^c, JZ^v) + \frac{p}{2}g^c([X, Y]^c, Z^v) - g^c([Z, X]^v, JY^c) \\ &\quad + \frac{p}{2}g^c([Z, X]^v, Y^c) - g^c([Y, Z]^v, JX^c) + \frac{p}{2}g^c([Y, Z]^v, X^c).\end{aligned}$$

From (4) and (5), we recall

$$\begin{aligned}J(Z^v) &= \frac{p}{2}Z^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}((\phi Z)^v - (\eta Z)^v \xi^c), \\ J(X^c) &= \frac{p}{2}X^c + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}((\phi X)^c - (\eta X)^v \xi^v - (\eta X)^c \xi^c).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{6}{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}d\Phi(X^c, Y^c, Z^v) &= \{Xg(Y, \phi Z) + Yg(Z, \phi X) + Zg(X, \phi Y) \\ &\quad - g([X, Y], \phi Z) - g([Z, X], \phi Y) - g([Y, Z], \phi X)\}^v \\ &\quad - X^c [(\eta(Z))^v (\eta(Y))^c] - Y^c [(\eta(Z))^v (\eta(X))^c] \\ &\quad - Z^v [(\eta(Y))^v (\eta(X))^v] - Z^v [(\eta(Y))^c (\eta(X))^c] \\ &\quad - (\eta(Z))^v g([X, Y], \xi)^c - (\eta(Y))^c g([Z, X], \xi)^v \\ &\quad - (\eta(X))^c g([Y, Z], \xi)^v.\end{aligned}$$

Similar to Proposition 2.5 in [3], we can see the existence of following relations in LP-Sasakian manifolds by using the equations $\nabla\phi = 0$, $g(X, \phi Y) = g(\phi X, Y)$ and $\phi X = \nabla_X \xi$:

$$\begin{aligned} Xg(Y, \phi Z) - g([X, Y], \phi Z) &= g(\nabla_Y X, \phi Z) + g(\nabla_X Z, \phi Y), \\ g([X, Y], \xi) &= X(\eta(Y)) - Y(\eta(X)). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{6}{2\sigma_{p,q} - p} d\Phi(X^c, Y^c, Z^v) &= 2\{g(\nabla_Y X, \phi Z) + g(\nabla_X Z, \phi Y) + g(\nabla_Z Y, \phi X)\} \\ &\quad + 2\{(\eta(Y))^c(Z\eta(X))^v + (\eta(Z))^v(X\eta(Y))^c \\ &\quad + (\eta(X))^c(Y\eta(Z))^v\}. \end{aligned}$$

In case of X, Y, Z are the vector fields of the distribution D in (6), we occur $3d\Phi(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = 0$ if and only if (7) is fulfilled. The other cases are similar. \square

Now, we construct a metallic structure F on TM using horizontal lift and investigate its parallelity.

Proposition 3.6. *The (1, 1)-tensor field F defined by*

$$(8) \quad F = \frac{p}{2}I + \left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}\right)(\phi^h - \eta^h \otimes \xi^h - \eta^v \otimes \xi^v)$$

is a metallic structure on the tangent bundle of a LP-Sasakian manifold with the quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) .

Proof. Using the properties of lifts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi^h)^2 &= (\phi^2)^h = I + \eta^h \otimes \xi^v + \eta^v \otimes \xi^h, \\ \eta^v(\xi^h) &= \eta^h(\xi^v) = -1, \quad \eta^v(\xi^v) = \eta^h(\xi^h) = 0, \\ \phi^h(\xi^v) &= \phi^h(\xi^h) = 0, \quad \eta^v \circ \phi^h = \eta^h \circ \phi^h = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(\xi^v) &= \frac{p}{2}\xi^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}\xi^h, \quad F(\xi^h) = \frac{p}{2}\xi^h - \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}\xi^v, \\ F(\phi^h \tilde{X}) &= \frac{p}{2}\phi^h \tilde{X} + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\tilde{X} + \eta^h(\tilde{X})\xi^v + \eta^v(\tilde{X})\xi^h). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude

$$F(\tilde{X}) = \frac{p}{2}\tilde{X} + \left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}\right)(\phi^h \tilde{X} - \eta^v(\tilde{X})\xi^v - \eta^h(\tilde{X})\xi^h)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F^2(\tilde{X}) &= \frac{p}{2}F(\tilde{X}) + \left(\frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}\right)(F(\phi^h \tilde{X}) - \eta^v(\tilde{X})F(\xi^v) - \eta^h(\tilde{X})F(\xi^h)) \\ &= pF(\tilde{X}) + q\tilde{X}. \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let M be a LP-Sasakian manifold with the quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) and F is given as (8). In this case, the following equation is satisfied*

$$g^s(F\tilde{X}, F\tilde{Y}) = pg^s(\tilde{X}, F\tilde{Y}) + qg^s(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \quad \forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \chi(TM).$$

Proof. Using (1), we have

$$FX^v = \frac{p}{2}X^v + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2}(\phi X)^v.$$

From the definition of the Sasaki metric (8), we write

$$\begin{aligned} g^s(FX^v, FY^v) &= \left\{ \left(q + \frac{p^2}{2} \right) g(X, Y) + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2} pg(X, \phi Y) \right\}^v, \\ g^s(X^v, FY^v) &= \left\{ \frac{p}{2} g(X, Y) + \frac{2\sigma_{p,q} - p}{2} g(X, \phi Y) \right\}^v. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$g^s(FX^v, FY^v) = pg^s(X^v, FY^v) + qg^s(X^v, Y^v).$$

The other cases can be proved similarly. \square

Proposition 3.8. *If M is a LP-Sasakian manifold with the quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) and F is given as (8), then the metallic structure F cannot be parallel with respect to ∇^h .*

Proof. Using $\nabla_X \xi = \phi X$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X^h}^h F)\xi^h &= \nabla_{X^h}^h(F\xi^h) - F(\nabla_{X^h}^h \xi^h) \\ &= \nabla_{X^h}^h \left(\frac{p}{2}\xi^h + \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2} \underbrace{(\phi\xi)^h}_0 - \underbrace{(\eta(\xi))^h \xi^h}_0 \right) \\ &\quad - (\eta(\xi))^v \xi^v - \frac{p}{2}(\nabla_X \xi)^h - \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2} \underbrace{(\phi(\nabla_X \xi))^h}_0 \\ &\quad - (\eta(\nabla_X \xi))^v \xi^v - \underbrace{(\eta(\nabla_X \xi))^h \xi^h}_0 \\ &= \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2} [(\phi^2 X)^h - (\phi X)^v]. \end{aligned}$$

For every $X \in D$ it is clear that $(\nabla_{X^h}^h F)\xi^h \neq 0$. Therefore the proof is complete. \square

Proposition 3.9. *If M is a LP-Sasakian manifold with the quartet (ϕ, η, ξ, g) and F is given as (8), then the fundamental 2-form Φ' defined by*

$$\Phi'(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = g^s(\tilde{X}, J\tilde{Y}) - \frac{p}{2}g^s(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \quad \forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \chi(TM)$$

cannot be closed.

Proof. We will use the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} F(X^v) &= \frac{p}{2}X^v + \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2}((\phi X)^v - (\eta(X))^v \xi^h), \\ F(\xi^v) &= \frac{p}{2}\xi^v + \xi^h, \\ F(X^h) &= \frac{p}{2}X^h + \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2}((\phi X)^h - (\eta(X))^v \xi^v). \end{aligned}$$

If X is a spacelike or timelike vector field in the distribution D (6), then from the definition of Sasaki metric (2)

$$\begin{aligned} 3d\Phi'(X^h, X^v, \xi^v) &= X^h\Phi'(X^v, \xi^v) + X^v\Phi'(\xi^v, X^h) + \xi^v\Phi'(X^h, X^v) \\ &\quad - \Phi'([X^h, X^v], \xi^v) - \Phi'([\xi^v, X^h], X^v) - \underbrace{\Phi'([X^v, \xi^v], X^h)}_0 \\ &= X^h(g^s(X^v, F\xi^v) - \frac{p}{2}g^s(X^v, \xi^v)) \\ &\quad + X^v(g^s(\xi^v, FX^h) - \underbrace{\frac{p}{2}g^s(\xi^v, X^h)}_0) \\ &\quad + \xi^v(g^s(X^h, FX^v) - \underbrace{\frac{p}{2}g^s(X^h, X^v)}_0) \\ &\quad - g^s((\nabla_X X)^v, F\xi^v) + \frac{p}{2}g^s((\nabla_X X)^v, \xi^v) \\ &\quad + g^s((\nabla_X \xi)^v, FX^v) - \frac{p}{2}g^s((\nabla_X \xi)^v, X^v) \\ &= \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2}g^s((\nabla_X \xi)^v, (\phi X)^v) \\ &= \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2}g^s((\phi X)^v, (\phi X)^v) \\ &= \frac{(2\sigma_{p,q} - p)}{2}g(X, X) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So, we proved the proposition. \square

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we define some metallic structures on tangent bundles of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds and study their parallelity. We determine some differences in equations from the Riemannian case which is studied in [3].

5. Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the reviewers for their thoughtful comments and efforts towards improving our manuscript.

References

- [1] M. Altunbaş, L. Bilen, A. Gezer, *Remarks about the Kaluza-Klein metric on tangent bundle*, Int. J. Geo. Met. Mod. Phys., 16 (3) (2019), 1950040.
- [2] A. A. Aqeel, U.C. De, G.C. Ghosh, *On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng. 31 (2) (2004), 1-13.
- [3] S. Azami, *Metallic structures on the tangent bundle of a P-Sasakian manifold*, Khayyam Math. J., 7 (2) (2021), 298-309.
- [4] S. Azami, *General natural metallic structure on tangent bundle*, Iranian J. Sci. Tech. Trans. A: Science, 42 (1) (2018), 81-88.
- [5] U.C. De, K. Matsumoto, A. A. Shaikh, *On Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Rendiconti del Seminario Mat. de Messina, 3 (1999), 149-156.
- [6] A. Gezer, M. Altunbaş, *On the geometry of the rescaled Riemannian metric on tensor bundles of arbitrary type*, Kodai Mathematical Journal, 38 (1), (2015) 37-64.
- [7] C.E. Hreţcanu, M. Crasmareanu, *Metallic structures on Riemannian manifolds*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina, 54 (2) (2013) 15-27.
- [8] A. Kazan, H.B. Karadağ, *Locally decomposable golden tangent bundles with Cheeger-Gromoll metric*, Miskolc Math. Not., 17 (1) (2016), 399-411.
- [9] M.N.I. Khan, *Novel theorems for the frame bundle endowed with metallic structures on an almost contact metric manifold*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 146 (3) (2021), 110872.
- [10] M.N.I. Khan, U.C. De, *Liftings of metallic structures to tangent bundles of order r* , AIMS Mathematics, 7 (5) (2022), 7888-7897.
- [11] M.N.I. Khan, M.A. Choudhary, S.K. Chaubey, *Alternative equations for horizontal lifts of the metallic structures from manifold onto tangent bundle*, J. Math., 2022 (2022), Article ID: 5037620.
- [11] K. Matsumoto, *On Lorentzian para-contact manifolds*, Bull. Yamagata Univ. Nat. Sci., 12 (1989), 151-156.
- [12] C. Murathan, A. Yildiz, K. Arslan, U. C. De, *On a class of Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds*, Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Phys. Math., 55 (4) (2006), 210-219.
- [13] M. Özkan, B. Peltek, *A new structure on manifolds: Silver structure*, Int. Elec. J. Geo., 9 (2) (2016), 59-69.
- [14] M. Özkan, F. Yılmaz, *Metallic structures on differentiable manifolds*, Journal of Science and Arts, 44 (3) (2018), 645-660.
- [15] M. Özkan, E. Taylan, AA Çitlak, *On lifts of silver structure*, Journal of Science and Arts, 17 (2) (2017), 223-234.
- [16] E. Peyghan, F. Firuzi, U.C. De, *Golden Riemannian structures on the tangent bundle with g -natural metrics*, Filomat, 33 (8) (2019), 2543-2554.
- [17] A. Taleshian, N. Asghari, *On LP-Sasakian manifolds*, Bull. Math. Anal. App., 3 (1) (2011), 45-51.
- [18] Y. Unluturk, S. Yılmaz, *Associated curves of the spacelike curve via the Bishop frame of type-2 in E_1^3* , J. Mahani Math. Res. Cent., 8 (1) (2019), 1-12.
- [19] K. Yano, S. Ishihara, *Tangent and cotangent bundles*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1979.

MURAT ALTUNBAŞ
ORCID NUMBER: 0000-0002-0371-9913
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ERZINCAN BINALI YILDIRIM UNIVERSITY
ERZINCAN, TURKEY
Email address: maltunbas@erzincan.edu.tr

ÇİĞDEM ŞENGÜL
ORCID NUMBER: 0000-0002-5142-882X
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
ERZINCAN BINALI YILDIRIM UNIVERSITY
ERZINCAN, TURKEY
Email address: cidemsengul24@hotmail.com